

Temperature-dependent development of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and its larval parasitoid, *Habrobracon hebetor* (Say) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): implications for species interactions

Article (Accepted Version)

Noor-ul-Ane, M, Ali Mirhosseini, M, Crickmore, Neil, Saeed, S, Noor, I and Zalucki, M P (2018) Temperature-dependent development of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and its larval parasitoid, *Habrobracon hebetor* (Say) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): implications for species interactions. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 108 (3). pp. 295-304. ISSN 0007-4853

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/69941/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Bulletin of Entomological Research

Temperature-dependent development of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and its larval parasitoid, *Habrobracon hebetor* (Say) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): implications for species interactions.

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	BER-D-17-00040R2
Full Title:	Temperature-dependent development of <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and its larval parasitoid, <i>Habrobracon hebetor</i> (Say) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): implications for species interactions.
Article Type:	Full research paper
Corresponding Author:	NOOR NOOR UL ANE Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Punjab PAKISTAN
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Bahauddin Zakariya University
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	NOOR NOOR UL ANE
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	NOOR NOOR UL ANE
	Mohammad Ali Mirhosseini
	Neil Crickmore
	Shafqat Saeed
	Iqra Noor
	Myron P Zalucki
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	<p><i>Habrobracon hebetor</i> (Say) is a parasitoid of various Lepidoptera including <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hübner), a key pest of different crops and vegetables. The development of both <i>H. armigera</i> and <i>H. hebetor</i> were simultaneously evaluated against a wide range of constant temperatures (10, 15, 17.5, 20, 25, 27.5, 30, 35, 37.5 and 40°C). <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> completed its development from egg to adult within a temperature range of 17.5-37.5°C and <i>H. hebetor</i> completed its life cycle from egg to adult within a temperature range of 15-40°C. Based on the Ikemoto and Takai model the developmental threshold (T_o) and thermal constant (K) to complete the immature stages, of <i>H. armigera</i> were calculated as 11.6°C and 513.6 DD, respectively, and 13°C and 148 DD, respectively, for <i>H. hebetor</i>. Analytis/Briere-2 and Analytis/Briere-1 were adjudged the best non-linear models for prediction of phenology of <i>H. armigera</i> and <i>H. hebetor</i>, respectively and enabled estimation of the optimum (T_{opt}) and maximum temperature (T_{max}) for development with values of 34.8°C, 38.7°C and 36.3°C, 43°C for host and the parasitoid, respectively. Parasitisation by <i>H. hebetor</i> was maximal at 25°C but occurred even at 40°C. This study suggests although high temperature is limiting to insects, our estimates of the upper thermal limits for both species are higher than previously estimated. Some biological control of <i>H. armigera</i> by <i>H. hebetor</i> may persist in tropical areas, even with increasing temperatures due to climate change.</p>

1 **Title**

2 **Temperature-dependent development of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera:**
 3 **Noctuidae) and its larval parasitoid, *Habrobracon hebetor* (Say) (Hymenoptera:**
 4 **Braconidae): implications for species interactions.**

5 **Muhammad Noor-ul-Ane**^{*1}, Mohammad Ali Mirhosseini², Neil Crickmore³ Shafqat Saeed
 6 ⁴, Iqra Noor⁵, Myron P. Zalucki⁶

7 ¹ Institute of Pure and Applied Biology (Zoology Division), Bahauddin Zakariya University
 8 (BZU), Multan, Punjab, Pakistan.

9 ² Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran,
 10 Iran.

11 ³ School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton, BN1 9QG, UK.

12 ⁴ Department of Entomology, MNSUA, Multan, Punjab, Pakistan.

13 ⁵ Department of Statistics, Bahauddin Zakariya University (BZU), Multan, Punjab, Pakistan.

14 ⁶ School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, Australia, 4072.

15 **Running Title: Influence of temperature on *H. armigera* and *H. hebetor***

16 *** Corresponding author**

17 **Dr. M. Noor-ul-Ane**

18 Email: mnoor493@hotmail.com

19 Address: Institute of Pure and Applied Biology (Zoology Division), Bahauddin Zakariya
 20 University (BZU), Multan

21 Abstract

22 *Habrobracon hebetor* (Say) is a parasitoid of various Lepidoptera including *Helicoverpa*
 23 *armigera* (Hübner), a key pest of different crops and vegetables. The development of both
 24 *H. armigera* and *H. hebetor* were simultaneously evaluated against a wide range of constant
 25 temperatures (10, 15, 17.5, 20, 25, 27.5, 30, 35, 37.5 and 40°C). *Helicoverpa armigera*
 26 completed its development from egg to adult within a temperature range of 17.5-37.5°C and
 27 *H. hebetor* completed its life cycle from egg to adult within a temperature range of 15-40°C.
 28 Based on the Ikemoto and Takai model the developmental threshold (T_o) and thermal
 29 constant (K) to complete the immature stages, of *H. armigera* were calculated as 11.6°C and
 30 513.6 DD, respectively, and 13°C and 148 DD, respectively, for *H. hebetor*.
 31 Analytis/Briere-2 and Analytis/Briere-1 were adjudged the best non-linear models for
 32 prediction of phenology of *H. armigera* and *H. hebetor*, respectively and enabled estimation
 33 of the optimum (T_{opt}) and maximum temperature (T_{max}) for development with values of
 34 34.8°C, 38.7°C and 36.3°C, 43°C for host and the parasitoid, respectively. Parasitisation by
 35 *H. hebetor* was maximal at 25°C but occurred even at 40°C. This study suggests although
 36 high temperature is limiting to insects, our estimates of the upper thermal limits for both
 37 species are higher than previously estimated. Some biological control of *H. armigera* by *H.*
 38 *hebetor* may persist in tropical areas, even with increasing temperatures due to climate
 39 change.

40 **Key Words:** Biological control, Cotton bollworm, Developmental Threshold, Degree Days,
 41 Linear model, upper thermal limits

42

43 **Introduction**

44 Various biotic and abiotic factors affect the behaviour of pests and the efficiency of their
45 bio-control agents. Temperature is one prominent abiotic factor influencing development
46 (Visser & Both, 2005) and reproductive potential of insects (Mironidis & Savopoulou-
47 Soultani, 2008) and subsequently their population dynamics (Logan *et al.*, 2006). An
48 increase in temperature may contract or expand the distribution of a given pest (Powell &
49 Logan, 2005) and its natural enemies (Furlong *et al.*, 2016).

50 The likely increase in temperature due to changing climate will have a great bearing on the
51 effectiveness of natural enemies for pest management, which in turn will affect insect host-
52 natural enemy associations, crop production, and food security (Dhillon & Sharma, 2009)
53 because both host and parasitoid may have different thermal curves (Hance *et al.*, 2007;
54 Furlong & Zalucki, 2017). Our understanding of biological control and ability to manipulate
55 the interaction is facilitated when the relationship between climate, predominately
56 temperature, and biocontrol agents and their hosts is known (Golizadeh *et al.*, 2008).

57 Thermal requirements of insects have both ecological and practical utility (Damos &
58 Savopoulou-Soultani, 2011). Thermal constants and developmental thresholds influence the
59 success of bio-control agents by affecting their activity and abundance (Furlong & Zalucki,
60 2017). Differences in lower developmental thresholds of insect predator and prey affect
61 predator-prey dynamics (Dixon, 2006). In addition, time of appearance of natural enemies
62 can be predicted using thermal constants (Malina & Praslicka, 2008) and thermal thresholds
63 help to predict occurrence and abundance of bio-control agents (Dhillon & Sharma, 2009).

64 Developmental thresholds and thermal requirements can be used to select bio-control agents
65 that are adapted to conditions which are suitable for their prey (Obrycki & Kring, 1998;
66 Perdikis & Lykouressis, 2002) and to select suitable temperatures for mass rearing of insects
67 (Torres *et al.*, 2002). Knowing the thermal requirements of an insect can aid interpretation

of its present geographical distribution and in predicting its future distribution (Hance *et al.*, 2007).

Helicoverpa armigera, also known as the cotton bollworm, is one of the most important pests of cotton. *H. armigera* is found almost throughout the world (Europe, Africa, Asia and Australasia), recently invaded South America and is likely to spread to North America (Kriticos *et al.*, 2015; Downes *et al.*, 2016). *Habrobracon hebetor* (Say) is an idiobiont, gregarious ecto-parasitoid that targets pyralid species (Brower & Press, 1990) as well as various other lepidopteran larvae (Chen *et al.*, 2013). It has potential as a biological control agent against *H. armigera* (Nikam & Pawar, 1993) in different parts of world (Imam *et al.*, 2007; Ashfaq *et al.*, 2011). Females first paralyze the host larvae and then lay 2-3 eggs on the larval surface. After 3-5 days, the eggs hatch and larvae start to feed on the paralyzed host larva for approximately 10 days after which pupation occurs. Adults emerge from pupae after 8-9 days and start searching for new host larvae (Ode *et al.*, 1996).

Thermal effects on development of *H. armigera* have been studied in various parts of world including Australia (Kay, 1981; Foley, 1981; Room, 1983), Japan (Jallow & Matsumura, 2001) and Greece (Mironidis & Savopoulou-Soultani, 2008). Forouzan *et al.* (2008) and Ahmad *et al.* (1985) studied development of *H. hebetor* in relation to temperature with *Galleria mellonella* L. and *Ephestia cautella* (Walker), respectively, as the hosts but did not cover a wider range of temperatures suitable for development of *H. hebetor*. Development and reproductive biology of insects may differ with geographical regions (Tsoukanas *et al.*, 2006). Therefore species thermal requirements should be tested with local populations.

There are no reports on the effect of temperature on *H. hebetor* with *H. armigera* as a host. Vingradova & Reznik (2015) concluded that using a wide range of constant temperatures is comparable with natural thermorhythms in relation to rate of development. Therefore, we establish the influence of temperature on *H. armigera* and its parasitoid, *H. hebetor* across a

wide range of constant temperatures. Both host and parasitoid were sourced from Pakistan. We were interested in the thermal optima and high temperature effects, as well as establishing the developmental threshold and degree days for each species.

Material and Methods

2.1 Culturing of *H. armigera* and *H. hebetor*

Adult *H. armigera* collected from cotton fields near Faisalabad (Latitude: 31.4181 N, Longitude: 73.0776 E), (Punjab), Pakistan in light traps were placed in plastic jars (45 cm diameter and 30 cm depth) covered with muslin cloth. A 10% solution of honey in water was provided, on soaked cotton pads, to feed the adults. A piece of nappy liner was hung inside the jar in order to collect eggs. Larvae were reared on a semi-synthetic diet (Ahmad *et al.*, 1995) for up to four generations.

All developmental stages of the Greater Wax Moth, *G. mellonella* were collected from infested bee hives. Adults were kept in plastic jars 25 cm diameter and 30 cm deep, for mating and egg collection and larvae were reared on artificial diet (Khan *et al.*, 2011). Adults and larvae were incubated in a growth chamber, at optimum conditions of $30\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $75\pm 5\%$ RH (Khan *et al.*, 2011). Adults of *H. hebetor* were obtained from the parasitized larvae of *H. armigera* from cotton and tomato field, from Faisalabad, (Punjab), Pakistan. The adults were then reared, in the laboratory up to four generations, on larvae of *G. mellonella* to establish a colony, at $29\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $65\pm 1\%$ RH, with 16:8 L:D hours, in glass vials of 5 cm diameter and 10 cm depth. Each female in a vial was offered a 4th-5th instar larva of the host along with 20% honey solution in water soaked in cotton swab. After 24 h, the female *H. hebetor* were moved to another vial containing a larva of *G. mellonella* and the parasitized larvae incubated as described above.

116 2.2. Temperature-dependent development and survival of immature stages of *H.*

117 *armigera* and *H. hebetor*

118 Eggs of *H. armigera* (<24 h old) were placed in Petri dishes (6 cm in diameter) containing
 119 artificial diet and subjected to a range of constant temperatures, i.e. 10, 15, 17.5, 20, 25,
 120 27.5, 30, 35, 37.5, 40°C (all $\pm 1/2^\circ\text{C}$) with $65 \pm 1\%$ RH, with 16:8 L:D hours in controlled
 121 environmental chamber (GC-1000DD, Usman Technical Services, Faisalabad, Punjab,
 122 Pakistan). A batch of 150-350 eggs of *H. armigera* was placed at 10-30°C while 400-550
 123 eggs were used at 35, 37.5 and 40°C. Hatching of eggs (and later larval development) was
 124 recorded daily at 10, 15, 20 and 25°C and at twice a day for the higher temperatures (30, 35,
 125 37.5 and 40°C). Larvae were placed in individual Petri dishes (6 cm in diameter) to avoid
 126 cannibalism. Larval development was recorded until pupation and the duration of pupal
 127 development was observed.

128 Four day old mated female *H. hebetor* were transferred individually into glass vials
 129 (10x5cm) each containing a 5th instar larva of *H. armigera* with its artificial diet. Fifth instar
 130 larvae of *H. armigera* are a suitable stage for parasitism by *H. hebetor* (Saxena *et al.*, 2012).
 131 Wasp females were provided with 20% honey solution via cotton swabs. Egg laying was
 132 checked at 4 h intervals when larvae with eggs were transferred to a Petri dish (6 cm in
 133 diameter) and then subjected to constant temperatures range (10-40°C). The duration of
 134 development of eggs of *H. hebetor* was recorded at 4 hour intervals, while larval and pupal
 135 development was observed at 24 hour intervals.

136 2.3. Temperature-dependent parasitism of *H. hebetor*

137 Pairs of 4 d old *H. hebetor* adults (n= 30 pairs per replicate) were each provided with ten 5th
 138 instar larvae at each constant temperatures from 10-40°C. Larvae of *H. armigera*, along with
 139 artificial diet, were provided individually to each pair to avoid cannibalism in a glass vial

(10x5 cm) for a period of 48h. Parasitoids were provided with 20% honey solution supplied by cotton swab, until all 10 larvae had been proffered (each pair took 20 days). The number of parasitized larvae was scored based on parasitoid pupal formation. This procedure was replicated three times, i.e. 90 pairs of parasitoids in total were trialled at each tested temperature to estimate parasitism.

2.4. Model fitting and analysis

The effect of different temperatures on developmental stages was analyzed by One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS software and means were compared by Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) test at $P \leq 0.05$. The survival rate and parasitism were subjected to quadratic regression in order to show the relationship with temperature. The effect of temperature on insect development was established using a linear regression; viz. $Y = a + bT$, where Y is the developmental rate (1/time in stage) at each temperature T , a is the intercept and b is the slope of the fitted line. The lower developmental threshold (T_o) and thermal constant (k) were calculated as: $T_o = -a/b$ and $k = 1/b$ (Campbell *et al.*, 1974). The Ikemoto and Takai (2000) regression method, used to calculate DD and T_o , fits $DT = k + T_o$ D, where D is the duration of development (in days), Biological parameters (T_o and k) for both linear models were compared by paired t test.

Various non-linear models available in the literature to describe insect development with temperature; Pradhan-Taylor (Gaussian), Davidsons logistic, Logan-6, Logan-6/Lactin-2, Logan-6/ Lactin 1, Logan-10, Hilbert and Logan, Analytis-1/Allahyari, Analytis-1, Analytis-3/Kontodimas, Analytis-3/Briere-1, Analytis-3/Briere-2, Janisch/Analytis, Janisch/Rochat, Polynomial (cubic), Shi-1, Shi-2 and Wang model; were applied to development data across the range of temperatures used for both *H. armigera* and *H. hebetor*. These models are frequently used to depict the relationship between temperature

164 and arthropod developmental rates (e.g. Zahiri *et al.*, 2010; Shi *et al.*, 2011; Bahar *et al.*,
165 2014). Calculations were made using MATLAB R 2016b.

166 **2.5. Model Evaluation:**

167 The best fit model was assessed based on the coefficient of nonlinear regression (for non-
168 linear models; R^2), sum of squares error (SSE) and biological criteria. High R^2 and lower
169 SSE are the usual criteria for best-fit models (Walgama & Zalucki, 2006). Because non-
170 linear models differ in the number of parameters that need to be estimated an addition
171 criteria is often applied to discriminate amongst the models. We employed Akaike
172 information criterion (AIC) to appraise goodness of fit of nonlinear models (Akaike, 1974).
173 AIC is defined as: $AIC = 2k - 2\ln(L)$, where k is number of estimated parameters for the
174 model, L is maximum value of the likelihood function for the model and \ln is the natural
175 log. The best fit model would have lower value of AIC.

176 Lastly the best fitting non-linear models were assessed on biological grounds as parameters
177 by comparing estimates of T_o , T_{opt} and T_{max} : the low temperature threshold, optimal
178 temperature and high temperature threshold, respectively with experimental data. Non-linear
179 models can give nonsensical values for these due to the form of the function at temperature
180 extremes and extrapolation errors; such model could not consider as best fit model, even
181 though they may have high R^2 values.

182 **3. Results**

183 **3.1. Temperature influence on *H. armigera* and *H. hebetor***

184 **3.1.1. Development**

185 No stage of *H. armigera* could complete development at 40°C. Below 40°C temperature had
186 a significant effect on the developmental period of eggs ($F = 3389$, $DF = 1, 5$ and $P < 0.001$),

larvae ($F= 2671$, $DF= 1, 4$ and $P< 0.001$) and pupae ($F= 556$, $DF=1, 3$ and $P< 0.001$) (Fig. 1). Eggs of *H. armigera* completed their development 2.2 d at 35°C and took longer at temperatures below and above 35°C. Larvae of *H. armigera* were not able to complete their development at 10°C but, as with the eggs, the development period decreased with increasing temperatures; 70 days at 15°C to 11 days at 35°C. Pupae of *H. armigera* could not complete development at 10 and 15°C but the developmental period decreased from 22 days at 20°C to 9 days at 35°C. Developmental period of *H. armigera* increased for all immature stages at 37.5°C (Table 1). Temperature significantly ($P<0.001$) affected the developmental period of eggs ($F= 387$, $DF=1, 6$), larvae ($F= 1076$, $DF=1, 5$) and pupae ($F= 439$, $DF=1, 4$), of *H. hebetor* (Fig. 1). As expected the developmental period of each immature stage of *H. hebetor* decreased with an increase in temperature except at 37.5°C and 40°C. Unlike *H. armigera*, eggs of the parasitoid completed their development at 40°C and pupae developed at 15°C; as with *H. armigera*, larvae of *H. hebetor* could not survive at 10°C.

3.1.2. *Survival*

The highest survival of *H. armigera* was observed at 25°C (Fig. 2). Based on the fitted curves survival was optimal at 23.2°C (61%), 24.3°C (87%) and 25.1°C (77%) for eggs, larvae, pupae of *H. armigera*, respectively (Fig. 2). Larvae of *H. armigera* showed the highest survival and were less affected by temperature above and below the optimum than eggs or pupae. As was observed with its *H. armigera* host, survival of all stages of *H. hebetor* was greatest at 25°C (Fig. 2) and then tailed off at either higher or lower temperatures. Based on the fitted curves survival rate of *H. hebetor* was 53% at 25.2°C, 81% at 25.8°C and 76 % at 26°C for eggs, larvae, pupae, respectively (Fig. 2).

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

212 3.1.3. Relationship between development rate and temperature

213 Linear regression models for each developmental stage were used to calculate threshold
 214 temperatures and degree days to complete development (Table 1). The Ikemoto and Takai
 215 model and ordinary regression model were not statistically different for developmental
 216 threshold ($t = 0.261$, $P > 0.05$) and k ($t = -1.581$, $P > 0.05$) in case of *H. armigera*. Using the
 217 Ikemoto and Takai model the pattern of T_o for *H. armigera* was larva (11.7°C) > pupa
 218 (10.4°C) > Egg (8.1°C).
 219 In case of *H. hebetor* the two linear models differed in their estimate of T_o ($t = 4.029$, $P <$
 220 0.05). Larvae of *H. hebetor* showed a higher threshold (14.3°C) than pupae (12.9°C) or eggs
 221 (7°C) based on the Ikemoto and Takai model (Table 1). Most non-linear models could be
 222 fitted to all developmental stages except Shi-2 in case of *H. armigera*. This model could
 223 only be fitted to eggs along with larval period as parameter estimates failed to converge in
 224 these cases. The selected models for the entire immature period differed in their ability to
 225 estimate pivotal temperatures (T_{\min} , T_{opt} , and T_{\max}) for *H. armigera* (Table 2, Fig. 3).
 226 Analytis-3/Brier-2 model was adjudged the best model for entire developmental period of
 227 *H. armigera* due to its biological significance (see discussion). The estimated values for T_o ,
 228 T_{opt} and T_{\max} are 8.5 , 34.8 , and 38.7°C , respectively. Using the best fit models of *H. hebetor*
 229 (Table 2, Fig. 3) the calculated values of T_o , T_{opt} and T_{\max} are 13.3 , 37.2 , 40.5°C ,
 230 respectively based on biological significance (see discussion).

231 Table 2

232 Fig. 3

233 3.2.4. Parasitism

234 The level of parasitism varied with temperature (Fig. 4) with the highest level (99.8%) being
 235 observed at 25°C . This reduced to 52% at 15°C and 13% at 40°C .

236

4. Discussion

As expected immature life stages of both *H. armigera* and *H. hebetor* completed their development in shorter times at high temperatures up to an optimum temperature. A similar pattern of developmental period against temperature was previously recorded for *H. armigera* (Jallow & Matsumura, 2001; Bartekova & Praslicka, 2006) and *H. hebetor* (Engroff & Watson, 1975; Thanavendan & Jeyarani, 2010). However developmental period in our study is shorter than observed in other studies on *H. armigera* which were carried out on a population from Japan when reared on tomato (Jallow & Matsumura, 2001), on one from Slovakia reared on corn seeds (Bartekova & Praslicka, 2006) but higher values of developmental period than a population from Greece reared on artificial diet (Mironidis & Savopoulou-Soultani, 2008). Developmental thresholds of *H. armigera* recorded in our study ($11-12^{\circ}\text{C}$) were in agreement with other studies (Jallow & Matsumura, 2001; Mironidis & Savopoulou-Soultani, 2008). However, Bartekova & Praslicka (2006) and Foley (1981) determined a higher developmental threshold for eggs (14.8°C), and non-diapusing pupae (14.8°C). The life history traits may differ among the population of different geographical regions (Tsoukanas *et al.*, 2006), rearing techniques and diet. The developmental period of *H. hebetor* observed in the present study differed from that of Forouzan *et al.* (2008) who observed higher values of developmental period for *H. hebetor* on *G. mellonella*. In contrast, Ahmad *et al.* (1985) recorded a slightly lower developmental duration of *H. hebetor* on *E. cautella*. In the present study, *H. hebetor* were unable to survive and complete development only at 10°C . In contrast, survival rate of *H. hebetor* was zero at 16°C for larvae and pupae when reared on *G. mellonella* in Iran (Forouzan *et al.*, 2008). These differences in aspects of life history traits may be due to different strain of *H. hebetor*, rearing host and/or geographical origin. The lower developmental threshold (15°C) for *H. hebetor* calculated in our study is higher than that calculated (13°C) by Forouzan *et*

262 *al.* (2008) who used a different host (*G. mellonella*). Variation in lower developmental
 263 threshold of insect predators and prey plays an important role in predator and prey dynamics
 264 (Dixon, 2006).
 265 In this study, *H. armigera* completed its development within a narrower range of
 266 temperature (17.5-37.5^oC) than its parasitoid (15-40^oC) which contrasts to normal paradigm
 267 that parasitoid have generally narrow thermal tolerance than its host (Messenger & Bosch,
 268 1971). Parasitoids with higher tolerance than its host may go extinct locally due to absence
 269 of its host (Bahar *et al.*, 2014). As *H. hebetor* is a generalist parasitoid, having a broad host
 270 range within the Lepidoptera, there is less chance of extinction of *H. hebetor* with climatic
 271 warming. The developmental periods for *H. hebetor* were shorter than for *H. armigera* over
 272 all temperatures. A shorter developmental period for the natural enemy is helpful for
 273 successful biological control programs (Snyder & Ives, 2003) as there would be more
 274 generations compared to the host. Higher temperatures (>35^oC) are lethal to all stages of *H.*
 275 *armigera* (this study) as has been found by others (Bashi & Tunc, 2008; Tran, 2012). We
 276 found that *H. hebetor* completed its development at higher temperatures as compared to *H.*
 277 *armigera*. This finding suggests that *H. hebetor* can be used to control cotton bollworm
 278 even in tropical areas. Low survival rates of *H. hebetor* at high temperatures (>37^oC) may
 279 be due to increased defense reaction such production of prophenoloxidase (PPOs) by the
 280 hosts (Spanoudis & Andreadis, 2012). Larvae of both *H. armigera* and *H. hebetor* were
 281 comparatively more heat tolerant than pupae or eggs. A possible explanation of this
 282 difference is production of more heat shock proteins (Hsps) in the larval stage than at other
 283 immature stages (Sinha & Sanyal, 2013).
 284 To overcome the pitfall of linear models, we evaluated the appropriateness of non-linear
 285 models based on how well they described the data (AIC ranking) and the estimated
 286 biological parameters (T_o , T_{opt} , T_{max}) (see Material and Methods). Five models were selected

287 based on AIC ranking for *H. armigera*: Wang, Shi-1, Logan-6/Lactin-2, Analytis-3/Briere-
 288 2, and Analytis-3/Briere-1. All provided adequate description of the data set (Fig. 3).
 289 Estimates of key biological parameters were compared (Table 2). Even though the Wang
 290 model provided reasonable estimates of T_{\max} and T_{opt} ; 37.8 and 36.8^oC, respectively; the
 291 value of T_0 (13.93^oC) appears to be too high (see linear models) and the model had more
 292 fitted parameters (6). The best fit must meet criteria of parsimony and fewer parameters
 293 with goodness of fit and biological significance (Walgama & Zalucki, 2006). The remaining
 294 4 models (Shi-1, Logan-6/Lactin-2, Analytis-3/Briere-2, and Analytis-3/Briere-1) selected
 295 on AIC gave similar estimated values for biological parameters (Table 3). We favoured the
 296 Analytis-3/Brier-2 model because it gave a T_{\max} (38.7^oC) very similar to experimental result
 297 and had fewer fitted parameters.

298 The non-linear models for *H. hebetor* presented an interesting problem. Based on AIC
 299 criteria the top 5 models were Janisch/Rochat, Janisch/Analytis, Logan-10, Analytis-
 300 1/Allahyari and Pradhan-Taylor (Gaussian), but each gave unrealistic values for T_{\max} (over
 301 50^oC) or T_0 (less than zero) which did not accord with experimental observations. We chose
 302 to look more closely at the next best fitting models: Polynomial (cubic), Hilbert and Logan,
 303 Analytis-3/Briere-2, Logan-6/Lactin-2 and Analytis-3/Briere-1 on the basis of AIC. We
 304 rejected the Polynomial (cubic) model as it cannot be used to calculate T_0 . Hilbert and
 305 Logan model calculated appropriate T_{opt} , unrealistic T_{\min} and slightly higher T_{\max} than the
 306 remaining models (Table 3). We did not consider this model further due to the higher
 307 number of parameters that need to estimated (5). Failure of Analytis-3/Briere-2 model to be
 308 best fit for *H. hebetor* was due to unrealistic T_{opt} and T_{\max} . Of the remaining models
 309 Analytis-1/Briere-1 was adjudged best as it required only 3 fitted parameters and gave
 310 appropriate biological parameters (T_{opt} and T_{\max}). Using an appropriate biofix the first
 311 emergence of *H. hebetor* adults can be predicted using both linear and non-linear models.

Our data indicate that *H. hebetor* has the potential to be used as a biological control agent for *H. armigera* over a wide range of temperatures (Fig. 4). The effect of temperature on life history traits for the two insects are very similar e.g. reductions in the development time of the host stages at higher temperatures are mirrored by equivalent reductions in the parasitoid. However, *H. hebetor* does better than *H. armigera* at higher temperatures. Higher thermal tolerance of *H. hebetor* indicates that this parasitoid has features of an effective biocontrol agent both in cooler areas but also in warmer areas. It may coincide with the changing distribution of *H. armigera* in climate warming scenarios due to its high thermal tolerance. We directly tested the efficiency of parasitism at various temperatures and found that although the level dropped at the higher temperatures *H. hebetor* was still able to parasitize *H. armigera* in laboratory assays at 40°C and complete development. Thermal tolerance and parasitism indicate the potential of *H. hebetor* as an effective biological control agent to control *H. armigera*. Further studies are needed to explore the relationship of temperature with *H. hebetor* and its pest, *H. armigera* under fluctuating temperatures/natural conditions, as well as the effects of host plant and humidity.

6. Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Higher Education Commission, Pakistan to sponsor this research, Yaghoub Fathipour, Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran, Dr. M. Ashfaq and Dr. Jalal Arif from University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan for helping out in different capacities and two anonymous referees for comments on early versions of the manuscript.

7. Disclosure

The authors have no potential conflicts of interests to disclose.

8. References

- Ahmad, M.S.H., Al-Maliky, S.K., Al-Taweel, A.A, Jabo, N.F. & Al-Hakkak, Z.S. (1985) Effects of three temperature regimes on rearing and biological activities of *Bracon hebetor* (Say) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Journal of Stored Products Research* **2**, 65-68.
- Ahmad, M., Arif, M.I. & Ahmad, Z. (1995) Monitoring Insecticide Resistance of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Pakistan. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **88**, 771-776.
- Akaike, H. (1974) A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* **19**, 716–723.
- Ashfaq, M., Khan, R.R. & Farooq, M.A. (2011) Refinement of Rearing Technique of a Potent Larval Parasitoid *Bracon hebetor* (Say), (*Braconidae: Hymenoptera*). *Proceedings of the Pakistan Academy of Science* **48**, 83-88.
- Analytis, S. (1977) Über die relation zwischen biologischer entwicklung und temperatur bei phytopathogenen Pilzen. *Phytopathologische Zeitschrift* **90**, 64–76.
- Bahar, M.H., Soroka, J.J. Grenkow, L. & Dosdall, L.M. (2014) New Threshold Temperatures for the Development of a North American Diamondback Moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) Population and Its Larval Parasitoid, *Diadegma insulare* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). *Environmental Entomology* **43**, 1443-1452.
- Bartekova, A. & Praslicka, J. (2006) The effect of Ambient Temperature on the Development of Cotton Bollworm (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hübner, 1808). *Plant Protection Science* **42**, 135-138.

- 357 Bashi, S.U. & Tunc, I. (2008) Development, survival and reproduction of *Orius niger*
 358 (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) under different photoperiod and temperature regimes,
 359 *Biocontrol Science and Technology* **18**, 767-778.
- 360 Briere, J.F., Pracros, P., Roux, A.Y. & Pierre, S. (1999) A novel rate model of temperature-
 361 dependent development for arthropods. *Environmental Entomology* **28**, 22–29.
- 362 Brower, J.H. & Press, J.W. (1990) Interaction of *Bracon hebetor* (Say) (Hymenoptera:
 363 Brachoidae) and *Trichogramma pretiosum* (Hymenoptera; Trichogrammatidae) in
 364 suppressing stored-product moth populations in small in shell peanut storages.
 365 *Journal of Economic Entomology* **83**, 1096-1101.
- 366 Campbell, A., Frazer, B.D., Gilbert, N., Gutierrez, A.P. & Mackauer, M. (1974)
 367 Temperature requirements of some aphids and their parasites. *Journal of Applied*
 368 *Ecology* **11**, 431- 438.
- 369 Chen, H., Zhang, H., Zhu, K.Y. & James, C. (2013) Performance of diapausing parasitoid
 370 wasps, *Habrobracon hebetor*, after cold storage. *Biological Control* **64**: 186–194.
- 371 Damos, P. & Savopoulou-Soultani, M. (2011) Temperature-Driven Models for Insect
 372 Development and Vital Thermal Requirements. *Psyche* **12**, 1-13.
- 373 Dhillon, M.K. & Sharma, H.C. (2009) Temperature influences the performance and
 374 effectiveness of field and laboratory strains of the ichneumonid parasitoid,
 375 *Ampoletis chlorideae*. *Biological Control* **54**, 743-750.
- 376 Dixon, A.F.G. (2006) Insect predator–prey dynamics. Ladybird beetles and biological
 377 control. *Journal of Insect Conservation* **10**, 375-376.
- 378 Downes, S., Kriticos, D., Parry, H., Paull, C., Schellhorn, N. & Zalucki, M.P. (2016) A
 379 perspective on management of *Helicoverpa armigera*: transgenic Bt cotton, IPM,
 380 and landscapes. *Pest Management Sciences* **73**, 485-492. doi:10.1002/ps.4461

- 381 Engroff, B.W. & Watson, T.F. (1975) Influence of temperature on adult biology and
 382 population growth of *Bracon kirkpatricki*. *Annal of the Entomological Society of*
 383 *America* **68**, 1121-1125.
- 384 Foley, D.H. (1981) Pupal development rate of *Heliothis armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera:
 385 Noctuidae) under constant and fluctuating temperatures. *Journal of the Australian*
 386 *Entomological Society* **20**, 13-20.
- 387 Forouzan, M., Amirmaafi, M. & Sahragard, A. (2008) Temperature-dependent development
 388 of *Habrobracon hebetor* (Hym.: Braconidae) reared on larvae of *Galleria*
 389 *mellonella* (Lep.: Pyralidae). *Journal of Entomological Society of Iran* **28**, 67-78.
- 390 Furlong, M.J., Zalucki, M.P., Shabir, A. & Adamson, D.C. (2016) Biological control of
 391 diamondback moth in a climate of change. “*Proceedings of 7th workshop on The*
 392 *management of DBM and other crucifer pests March 23-26. 2015 organized by*
 393 *University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, India.*
- 394 Furlong, M.J. & Zalucki, M.P. (2017) Climate change and biological control: the
 395 consequences of increasing temperatures on host-parasitoid interactions. *Current*
 396 *Opinion in Insect Science* **20**, 39-44<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2017.03.006>.
- 397 Garba, M. & Gaoh, N.B. (2008) Use of *Habrobracon hebetor* in biological control of
 398 *Heliocheilus albipunctella* pearl millet head miner. P. 436-444 in *Proceedings of the*
 399 *8th international conference on pests in Agriculture*, organized by Association
 400 Française de Protection des Plantes, INRA, Montpellier, France.
- 401 Golizadeh, A., Kamali, K., Fathipour, Y. & Abbasipour, H. (2008) Life table and
 402 temperature-dependent development of *Diadegma anurum* (Hymenoptera:
 403 Ichneumonidae) on its host *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae).
 404 *Environmental Entomology* **37**, 38-44.

- 405 Hance, T., van Baaren, J., Vernon, P. & Boivin, G., (2007) Impact of extreme temperatures
 406 on parasitoids in a climate change perspective. *Annual Review of Entomology* **52**,
 407 107-126.
- 408 Haghani, M., Fathipour, Y. Talebi, A.A. & Baniamერი, V. (2007) Temperature-dependent
 409 development of *Diglyphus isaea* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) on *Liriomyza sativae*
 410 (Diptera: Agromyzidae) on cucumber. *Journal of Pest Science* **80**, 71–77.
- 411 Ikemoto, T. & Takai, K. (2000) A new linearized formula for the law of total effective
 412 temperature and the evaluation of line-fitting methods with both variables subject to
 413 error. *Environmental Entomology* **29**, 671-682.
- 414 Imam, M., Uwais, A., Namat, U., Akbar, A. & Ahmat, T. 2007. Influence of *Habrobracon*
 415 *hebetor* on *H. armigera armigera* in southern Xinjiang. *Natural Enemies of Insect*
 416 **29**: 12-15.
- 417 Jallow, M.F.A. & Matsumura, M. (2001) Influence of temperature on the rate of
 418 development of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Applied*
 419 *Entomology and Zoology* **36**, 427-430.
- 420
- 421 Karimi-Malati, A., Fathipour, Y. & Talebi, A.A. (2014) Development response of
 422 *Spodoptera exigua* to eight constant temperatures: Linear and nonlinear modeling.
 423 *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology* **17**, 349-354.
- 424 Kay, I.R. (1981) The effect of constant temperatures on the development time of eggs
 425 of *Heliothis armiger* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of the Australian*
 426 *Entomological Society* **20**, 155–156.
- 427 Khan, R.R., Ashfaq, M., Ahmed, S. & Sahi, S.T. (2011) Mortality responses in *Bracon*
 428 *hebetor* (say) (Braconidae: Hymenoptera) against some new chemistry and

- 429 conventional insecticides under laboratory conditions. *Pakistan Journal of*
 430 *Agriculture and Science* **46**, 30-33.
- 431 Kontodimas, D.C., Eliopoulos, P.A., Stathas, G.J. & Economou, L.P. (2004) Comparative
 432 Temperature-Dependent Development of *Nephus includes* (Kirsch) and *Nephus*
 433 *bisignatus* (Boheman) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) Preying on *Planococcus citri*
 434 (Risso) (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae): Evaluation of a Linear and Various
 435 Nonlinear Models Using Specific Criteria. *Environmental Entomology* **33**, 1-11.
- 436 Kriticos, D.J., Ota, N., Hutchison, W.D., Beddow, J., Walsh, T., Tay, W.T., Paula-Moreas,
 437 S.V., Czapak, C. & Zalucki, M.P. (2015) The Potential Distribution of Invading
 438 *Helicoverpa armigera* in North America: Is It Just a Matter of Time? *PLoS ONE*,
 439 10, e0119618. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119618.
- 440 Lactin, D.J., Holliday, N.J., Johnson, D.L. & Craigen, R. (1995) Improved rate model of
 441 temperature-dependent development by arthropods. *Environmental Entomology* **24**,
 442 68-75.
- 443 Lamb, R.J., Gerber, G.H. & Atkinson, G.F. (1984) Comparison of developmental rate
 444 curves applied to egg hatching data of *Entomoscelis Americana* (Brown)
 445 (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Environmental Entomology* **13**, 868-872.
- 446 Logan, J.D., Wolesensky, W. & Joern, A. (2006) Temperature-dependent phenology and
 447 predation in arthropod systems. *Ecological Modelling* **196**, 471-482.
- 448 Malina, R. & Praslicka, J. (2008) Effect of temperature on the developmental rate, longevity
 449 and parasitism of *Aphidius servi* Haliday (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae). *Plant*
 450 *Protection Science* **44**, 19-24.
- 451 Matthews, G.A. (1997) Implementing cotton integrated pest management. *Experimental*
 452 *Agriculture* **33**, 1-14.

- 453 Messenger, P.S. & Bosch, R. (1971) The adaptability of introduced biological control
454 agents, pp. 68-92 in Huffaker, C.B. (Ed.) *Biological Control*. Plenum press, New
455 York, USA.
- 456 Mironidis, G.K. & Savopoulou-Soultani, M. (2008) Development, Survivorship, and
457 Reproduction of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) under Constant
458 and Alternating Temperatures. *Environmental Entomology* **37**, 16-28.
- 459 Nikam, P.K. & Pawar, C.V. (1993) Life tables and intrinsic rate of increase of *Bracon*
460 *hebetor* Say (Hym., Braconidae) population on *Corcyra cephalonica* Staint. (Lep.,
461 Pyralidae), a key parasitoid of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hb.) (Lep., Noctuidae).
462 *Journal of Applied Entomology* **115**, 210-213.
- 463 Obrycki, J.J. & Kring, T.J. (1998). Predaceous Coccinellidae in biological control. *Annual*
464 *Review of Entomology* **43**, 295-321.
- 465 Ode, P.J., Antolin, M.F. & Storand, M.R. (1996) Sex allocation and sexual asymmetries in
466 intrabrood competition in the parasitic wasp *Bracon hebetor*. *Journal of Animal*
467 *Ecology*, **65**, 690- 700.
- 468 Powell, J.A. & Logan, J.A. (2005) Insect seasonality: circle map analysis of temperature
469 driven life cycles. *Theoretical Population Biology* **67**, 161-179.
- 470 Perdakis, D.C. & Lykouressis, D.P. (2002) Thermal requirements of the polyphagous
471 predator *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Hemiptera: Miridae). *Environmental Entomology*
472 **31**, 661-667.
- 473 Room, P.M. (1983) Calculations of temperature-driven development by *Heliothis* species
474 (Lepidoptera :Noctuidae) in the Namoi Valley, New South Wales. *Journal of*
475 *Australian Entomological Society* **22**, 211-15.

- 476 Saxena, H., Ponnusamy, D. & Iquebal, M.A. (2012) Seasonal parasitism and biological
477 characteristics of *Habrobracon hebetor* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a potential
478 larval ectoparasitoid of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in a
479 chickpea ecosystem. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* **22**, 305-318.
- 480 Shi, P., Ge, F., Sun, Y. & Chen, C. (2011) A simple model for describing the effect of
481 temperature on insect developmental rate *Journal of Asia Pacific Entomology* **14**,
482 15-20.
- 483 Sinha, S. & Sanyal, S. (2013) Acclimatization to Heat Stress in Nistari Race of *Bombyx*
484 *mori*. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* **1**, 61-65.
- 485 Snyder, W.E. & Ives, A.R. (2003) Interactions between specialist and generalist natural
486 enemies: parasitoids, predators, and pea aphid. *Biological Control* **84**, 91-107.
- 487 Spanoudis, C.G. & Andreadis S.S. (2012) Temperature-dependent survival, development,
488 and adult longevity of the koinobiontendo-parasitoid *Venturia canescens*
489 (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) parasitizing *Plodia interpunctella* (Lepidoptera:
490 Pyralidae). *Journal Pest Science* **85**, 75-80.
- 491 Thanavendan, S. & Jeyarani, S. (2010) Effect of different temperature regimes on the
492 biology of *Bracon brevicornis* Wesmael (Braconidae: Hymenoptera) on different
493 host larvae. *Journal of Biopesticides* **3**, 441-444.
- 494 Torres, J.B., Musolin, D.L. & Zanuncio, J.C. (2002) Thermal requirements and parasitism
495 capacity of *Trissolcus brochymenae* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Scelionidae) under
496 constant and fluctuating temperatures, and assessment of development in field
497 conditions. *Biocontrol of Science and Technology* **12**, 583-593.
- 498 Tran, L.T., Worner, S.P., Hale, R.J. & Teulon, D.A.J. (2012) Estimating Development Rate
499 and Thermal Requirements of *Bactericera Cockerelli* (Hemiptera: Triozidae)

- 500 Reared on Potato and Tomato by Using Linear and Nonlinear Models.
501 *Environmental Entomology* **41**, 1190-1198.
- 502 Tsoukanas, V.I., Papadopoulos, G.D., Fantinou, A.A. & Papadoulis, G.T. (2006)
503 Temperature-dependent development and life table of *Iphiseius degenerans* (Acari:
504 Phytoseiidae). *Environmental Entomology* **35**, 212-218.
- 505 Vargas, R.I., Walsh, W.A., Kanehisa, D., Stark, J.D. & Nishida, T. (2000) Comparative
506 demography of three Hawaiian fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) at alternating
507 temperatures. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **93**, 75-81.
- 508 Vingradova, E.B. & Reznik, S.Y. (2015) Influence of constant and changing temperatures
509 on the larval development of *Calliphora vicina* (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Acta*
510 *Societatis Zoologicae Bohemicae* **79**: 149–154.
- 511 Visser, M. E. & Both, C. (2005) Shifts in phenology due to global climate change: the need
512 for a yardstick. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of B* **272**, 2561-2569.
- 513 Walgama, R.S. & Zalucki, M.P. (2006) Evaluation of different models to describe egg and
514 pupal development of *Xyleborus fornicatus* Eichh. (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), the
515 shot-hole borer of tea in Sri Lanka. *Insect Science* **13**, 109-118.
- 516 Zahiri, B., Fathipour, Y., Khanjani, M. Moharramipour, S. & Zalucki, M.P. (2010)
517 Preimaginal development response to constant temperatures in *Hypera postica*
518 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae): picking the best model. *Environmental Entomology*
519 **39**, 177-189.
- 520 Zalucki, M.P., Daglish, G., Firempong, S. & Twine, P. (1986). The biology and ecology of
521 *Heliothis armigera* (Hübner) and *Heliothis punctigera* Wallengren (Lepidoptera:
522 Noctuidae) in Australia: What do we know? *Australian Journal of Zoology* **34**,
523 779-814.

524

525 **Fig. 1: Development time (days \pm SE) of immature stages of *H. hebetor* and *H.***
 526 ***armigera* (Eggs, Larvae and Pupae) at 10 constant temperatures from 10-40⁰C (see text**
 527 **for details).**

528

529 **Fig. 2: Survival rate (%) of (a) Eggs (b) Larvae (c) Pupae of *H. armigera* (◆ and *H.***
 530 ***hebetor* (■) at 10 constant temperatures from 10-40⁰C. Quadratic lines for *H. armigera***
 531 **(solid lines) and *H. hebetor* (dotted lines) (a) Eggs: *H. armigera*, $Y = -0.2389x^2 + 11.107x$**
 532 **-67.456 , $R^2 = 0.82$ and *H. hebetor*, $y = -0.1845x^2 + 9.3246x - 64.355$, $R^2 = 0.77$ (b)**
 533 **Larvae: *H. armigera*, $y = -0.3115x^2 + 15.148x - 97.14$, $R^2 = 0.86$ and *H. hebetor*, $y = -$**
 534 **$0.3162x^2 + 16.324x - 129.73$, $R^2 = 0.85$, and (c) Pupae: *H. armigera*: $y = -0.4032x^2 +$**
 535 **$20.311x - 178.61$, $R^2 = 0.88$ and *H. hebetor*: $y = -0.3156x^2 + 16.394x - 136.05$, $R^2 = 0.83$**

536

537

538 **Fig. 3: Development rate for whole immature stages and best fit models for *H.***
 539 ***armigera* and *H. hebetor***

540 **Observed data (triangles) and fitted non-linear models (solid lines) of *H. armigera* and**
 541 **Observed data (dots) and fitted non-linear models (dotted lines) of *H. hebetor* (see**
 542 **Table 2 for detail)**

543

544 **Fig. 4: Percentage successful parasitism (Y) by *H. hebetor* of *H. armigera* late instar**
 545 **larvae at constant temperatures. Fitted line: $Y = -0.3388x^2 + 16.334x - 108.84$, $R^2 = 0.88$**

Table 1: Linear regression models (ordinary and Ikemoto) parameters and R^2 values for temperature dependent development of immature stages (eggs, larvae, pupae and combined immature stages) of *H. armigera* and *H. hebetor*.

Insect	Stage	Linear Models							
		Ordinary Model				Ikemoto Model			
		Equation	R^2	T	K	Equation	R^2	T	K
<i>H. armigera</i>	Eggs	$-0.18 + 0.01T$	0.95	10.6	57.8	$76.50 + 8.15D$	0.88	8.1	76.5
	Larvae	$-0.04 + 0.00T$	0.98	11.1	247.7	$243.52 + 11.75D$	0.99	11.7	243.5
	Pupae	$-0.04 + 0.004T$	0.97	9.9	213	$224.14 + 10.40D$	0.79	10.4	224.1
	Total								
	Immature stages	$-0.02 + 0.001T$	0.97	11.0	508.6	$513.68 + 11.61D$	0.93	11.6	513.6
<i>H. hebetor</i>	Eggs	$-0.19 + 0.02T$	0.91	9.5	47.5	$60.10 + 6.95D$	0.91	7.0	60.1
	Larvae	$-0.55 + 0.03T$	0.91	15.7	28.3	$37.77 + 14.30D$	0.98	14.3	37.8
	Pupae	$-0.44 + 0.02T$	0.56	17.2	38.8	$84.30 + 12.93D$	0.89	12.9	84.3
	Total								
	Immature stages	$-0.13 + 0.008T$	0.82	15.0	111.1	$147.19 + 13.21D$	0.96	13.2	147.1

T₀= Developmental threshold, K (DD) = thermal constant (Degree-day), * (egg to adult emergence)

Table 2: Parameter estimates \pm SE for selected nonlinear models fitted (AIC based ranking and biological significance) to describe development rate of entire immature stages of *H. armigera* and *H. hebetor*. Estimated T_{min} , T_{opt} and T_{max} are also provided.

Model		Total immature Stages	
Name	Parameters	<i>H. armigera</i>	<i>H. hebetor</i>
Logan-6/Lactin-2	P	$0.002 \pm 9.78 \times 10^{-3}$	0.007 ± 0.00
	T_{max}	46.269 ± 1.98	45.666 ± 5.33
	Δ	2.098 ± 0.5	2.045 ± 2.03
	λ	-1.025 ± 0.00	-1.107 ± 0.03
	$*T_{min}$	12.1	14.5
	$*T_{opt}$	34.8	36.8
	$*T_{max}$	40.2	42.0
Analytis-3/Briere-1	A	$2.017 \times 10^{-5} \pm 1.40e-06$	$7.8 \times 10^{-5} \pm 1.72 \times 10^{-5}$
	Tb	10.852 ± 0.82	14.55 ± 2.12
	TL	41.452 ± 0.46	43.079 ± 1.58
	$*T_{min}$	11.2	14.8
	$*T_{opt}$	34.5	36.3
	$*T_{max}$	41.2	43.0
Analytis-3/Briere-2	a	$3.442 \times 10^{-5} \pm 3.76e-06$	$0.0001 \pm 4.81 \times 10^{-5}$
	Tb	8.205 ± 1.75	13.081 ± 3.69
	TL	38.657 ± 0.65	40.497 ± 1.21
	n	3.910 ± 1.05	4.345 ± 3.74
	$*T_{min}$	8.5	13.3
	$*T_{opt}$	34.8	37.1
	$*T_{max}$	38.7	40.4

Table 2-2

Shi-1	M	0.002±0.00	***
	T _{min}	12.001±0.56	
	T _{max}	40.175±0.63	
	K2	0.458±0.12	
	*T _{min}	12.1	
	*T _{opt}	34.9	
	*T _{max}	40.2	
Wang	M	0.509±8.00	***
	K1	0.012±0.20	
	T _e	13.769±1.1	
	K2	4.852±0.00	
	T _m	37.848±0.03	
	C	-0.023±0.12	
	*T _{min}	13.9	
Hilbert and Logan	Ψ	***	6.472±22.94
	T _b		9.061±13.46
	D		143.920±2.55e+05
	T _m		36.768±15.75
	Δ		3.277±8.47
	*T _{min}		9.3
	*T _{opt}		36.4
Polynomial (cubic)	*T _{max}		42.3
	a ₀	***	-5.3×10 ⁻⁵ ±1.95×10 ⁻³
	a ₁		0.004±0.00
	a ₂		-0.101±0.04
	a ₃		0.761±0.35
	*T _{min}		Nil
	*T _{opt}		35.5
	*T _{max}		44.9

* Values obtained mathematically using Microsoft excel *** Not selected based on ABC and biological significance

Fig. 1(a)




Fig. 1 (a)

Fig. 1(b)




Fig. 1 (b)

Fig. 1(c)




Fig. 1 (c)

Fig. 2(a)




Fig. 2 (a)

Fig. 2(b)




Fig. 2 (b)

Fig. 2(c)






Fig. 2 (c)

Fig. 3(a-b)




(a)




(b)

Fig. 3

Fig. 3(c-d)




(c)




(d)

Fig. 3

Fig. 3(e-f)



(e)



(f)

Fig. 3

Fig. 4




Fig. 4